### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

FRANK D. SEINFELD,	)
Plaintiff,	)
-against-	) Case No.: 05-298
CRAIG R. BARRETT, CHARLENE BARSHEFSKY, E. JOHN P. BROWNE, D. JAMES GUZY, REED E. HUNDT, PAUL S. OTELLINI, DAVID S. POTTRUCK, JANE E. SHAW, JOHN L. THORNTON, DAVID B. YOFFIE, ANREW S. GROVE, and INTEL CORPORATION,	) ) ) Jury Trial Demanded ) ) ) )
Defendants.	) )

## DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12(B)(1)

#### OF COUNSEL:

Michael D. Torpey Susan D. Resley James N. Kramer Erin L. Bansal ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 405 Howard St. San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 773-5700

Dated: June 27, 2005

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

Stephen C. Norman (#2686) Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor 1313 North Market Street P.O. Box. 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0957 (302) 984-6000

Attorneys for defendants Craig R. Barrett, Charlene Barshefsky, E. John P. Browne, D. James Guzy, Reed E. Hundt, Paul S. Otellini, David S. Pottruck, Jane E. Shaw, John L. Thornton, David B. Yoffie, Andrew S. Grove, and nominal defendant Intel Corporation

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 201, defendants Craig R. Barrett, Charlene Barshefsky, E. John P. Browne, D. James Guzy, Reed E. Hundt, Paul S. Otellini, David S. Pottruck, Jane E. Shaw, John L. Thornton, David B. Yoffie, Andrew S. Grove and nominal defendant Intel Corporation (collectively "Defendants") respectfully request that this Court take judicial notice of following document:

1. The proxy statement filed by Intel Corporation ("Intel") with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on March 29, 2005. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

#### **ARGUMENT**

In this stockholder derivative action, plaintiff Frank D. Seinfeld challenges statements made in the proxy statement issued by Intel on March 29, 2005. This Court may properly take judicial notice of this proxy. See Fed. R. Evid. 201. See also In re NAHC, Inc. Sec. Litig., 306 F.3d 1314, 1331 (3d Cir. 2002) (affirming judicial notice of documents relied upon in complaint and documents filed with the SEC); Kramer v. Time Warner, Inc., 937 F.2d 767, 774 (2d Cir. 1991) (same).

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

#### OF COUNSEL:

Michael D. Torpey Susan D. Reslev James N. Kramer Erin L. Bansal ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 405 Howard St. San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 773-5700

Dated: June 27, 2005

688200

Stephen C. Norman (#2686) Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 North Market Street P.O. Box. 951 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0957

(302) 984-6000

Attorneys for defendants Craig R. Barrett, Charlene Barshefsky, E. John P. Browne, D. James Guzy, Reed E. Hundt, Paul S. Otellini, David S. Pottruck, Jane E. Shaw, John L. Thornton, David B. Yoffie, Andrew S. Grove, and nominal defendant Intel Corporation

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I do hereby certify that, on June 27, 2005, the within document was filed with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to the following; that the document was served on the following counsel as indicated; and that the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF.

# BY EMAIL AND HAND DELIVERY

Francis G.X. Pileggi, Esquire Sheldon K. Rennie, Esquire Fox Rothschild LLP 919 North Market Street, Suite 1300 Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Stephen C. Norman (#2686)
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

P.O. Box 951

Wilmington, DE 19899

(302) 984-6000

E-mail: snorman@potteranderson.com